

QualityCoast Award 2015 Jury Report

Goeree

(gemeente Goeree-Overflakkee)
The Netherlands



Leiden, July 2015

Final version

Coastal & Marine Union (EUCC)
Leiden – Barcelona – Klaipeda



QualityCoast is a programme of the Coastal & Marine Union (EUCC)
and partly funded by the European Commission

Date of Application:

February 2015

International QualityCoast Jury

Jury President: Prof F. Taveira Pinto (Portugal)
Vice President: Dr Anton Micallef (Malta)

Jury & Assessment Team

International:

Julia Vera Prieto (Spain)
Juan Pablo Pérez Gómez (Spain)
Francisco Reis (Portugal)
Margarida Nunes (Portugal)
Xenia Loizidou (Cyprus)
Claudia Coman (Cyprus)
Malou van Kempen (Netherlands)
Menko Wiersema (Netherlands)
Suzanne van der Veecken (Netherlands)
Luz Paramio Martin (Portugal)

Leiden, the Netherlands:

Albert Salman
Hugo de Jong
Joana Mira Veiga

Signature on behalf of the QualityCoast Jury



Albert Salman, Secretary, international QualityCoast Jury

Contact details:

Coastal & Marine Union (EUCC)
c/o QualityCoast Team
P.O. Box 11232
NL-2301 EE Leiden, The Netherlands
www.eucc.net, www.qualitycoast.info
E-mail: secretariat@qualitycoast.info
Tel: +31 (0) 71 5122900

What is QualityCoast?

QualityCoast is a sustainability award for coastal communities, towns and islands. It is the only sustainable tourism destination award developed by local authorities themselves. It is the result of the European Union INTERREG project "Coastal Practice Network" (CoPraNet 2002-2005), led by the EUCC. The QualityCoast criteria have been developed to measure the success of Integrated Coastal Zone Management (ICZM) at local level, in connection to the EU Recommendation for ICZM.

People are increasingly interested in sustainability issues, also when selecting their holiday destination. Tourism eco-labels have become common features in travel brochures and websites. Whilst the Blue Flag specifically applies to individual beaches and marinas and Travelife and the Green Key to accommodations, QualityCoast is considering efforts for sustainable tourism in the whole territory of the coastal destination: towns, small regions and islands.

With the QualityCoast programme (www.qualitycoast.info), the Coastal & Marine Union - EUCC aims to establish an international network of coastal communities that share similar values on sustainable and socially responsible tourism, at the same time maintaining high standards in the quality of their tourism offer.

With the QualityCoast Award programme, EUCC aims to encourage tourism destinations for:

- a. their attractiveness for visitors interested in natural and cultural heritage, a clean environment, local identity, or business involvement in sustainable tourism;
- b. their policies re: sustainable tourism and any of the aspects mentioned under point a;
- c. a combination of a and b.

Since the inclusion of all Destinations Criteria of the Global Sustainable Tourism Council (GSTC) in the QualityCoast Award 2013 Application Form, QualityCoast is now open for applications from all continents.

"QualityCoast" has been registered by EUCC as a European Union trademark; the use of the name is restricted under European law.

QualityCoast meets European and global standards

The GSTC Destination Criteria (GSTC-D version 1.0), published 1 November 2013, have already been fully incorporated into the QualityCoast – QualityDestination 2015 Application Form.

In February 2013, the European Commission launched the European Tourism Indicators (ETIS) aiming to measure and enhance the sustainability of destinations across Europe. All ETIS-indicators have also been included into our Award Application Form, mostly for optional use.

QualityCoast certifies tourism sustainability policy

We offer reliable and transparent international Award programmes and a certification option for destinations with serious ambitions for a good sustainable tourism policy. The Awards and certifications are issued for periods of two years.

The programme also offers an assessment of the visible sustainability (performance, or sustainability status) in a broad range of categories and criteria, since tourists are not only interested in policies, strategies, ambitions and plans, but especially on how they experience a destination. The years of experience in this field allow the programme to inform the tourism sector and the public in a transparent way on policies and performance of ca. 1000 destinations, through the national and international ranking lists of the Global Sustainable Tourism Review (<http://www.qualitycoast.info/alldestinations.htm>). Ranking lists are topped by the QualityCoast Policy Award winners, that have been certified on both policy and status. Next are the destinations that received the QualityCoast BasiQ Award – they are mainly selected on the basis of their status and performance in environmental management, natural and cultural heritage, and local business involvement in sustainability.

The importance of QualityCoast for tourism sustainability

Participation of destination management organisations in the Award programme improves their awareness performance and achievements in an international context. The comparison with other awarded destinations provides the community with a good picture of its strengths and weaknesses. The international assessment and recommendations by our international Jury provide the local authority with a guidance to be considered in an agenda for improvement.

Communities join a network of like-minded communities and share their experiences and best practices in order to improve sustainability and social responsibility in tourism. Their performance in these fields is connected to international tourism marketing through certification under the supervision of an independent international Jury issuing the Awards.

Promotional benefits of participation in QualityCoast

EUCC carries out a marketing campaign together with the Awarded destinations to promote them as the most attractive destinations for visitors interested in nature and landscape, environment, and cultural identity and to highlight their performance in tourism quality and sustainability. The marketing campaign includes promotion at holiday fairs, media publicity, wide dissemination of brochures, promotion via our various web sites and social media. Awarded destinations are also recommended by a number of tour operators of TUI-The Netherlands, in their travel brochures.

The community's own international tourism marketing can profit from the status of QualityCoast destination. Experience in several countries learns that this will continue to generate media publicity nationally and internationally. Sustainability based marketing efforts will increase the "green profile" of the destination both externally and internally and this will help mainstreaming sustainability on local policy and decision making.

What is the QualityCoast Award?

The QualityCoast Award is an independent international certification programme for sustainable tourism of coastal and island destinations that:

- ❖ Has a good overall policy performance in sustainable tourism, mainly according to the Global Sustainable Tourism Council Destination Criteria, according to our Standard (<http://qualitycoast.info/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/qualitycoast-standard-2013.pdf>);
- ❖ Offers a sufficient touristic quality to visitors (mainly measured through the BasiQ indicator set);
- ❖ Provides transparent information to residents and visitors (partly through our communication campaigns);
- ❖ Planning for improvement (according to the Recommendations of the International Award Jury).

The Award Standard is publicly available in www.qualitycoast.info.

The Award programme is considering policy and performance criteria representing five different categories:

- ❖ Nature
- ❖ Environment
- ❖ Local Identity & Cultural Heritage
- ❖ Host Community & Safety
- ❖ Tourism & Business

Each criterion is measured through a combination of quantitative and qualitative indicators, on which the applicant must provide information regarding its entire territory.

What are the QualityCoast criteria?

See the titles of the 2015 Policy Award criteria on the page 8.

Definitions of the criteria and a complete list of indicators can be found in the QualityCoast Application Form 2015.

The criteria and indicators will remain subject to annual revision, based upon European and global standards.

How is the QualityCoast Award attributed?

The QualityCoast Award is attributed on the basis of information to be provided by the applicant(s) through an Application Form. For many of the policy related indicators the applicant is invited to make a self-assessment by giving the destination a rate from 1 to 5 ("1" meaning absence of any relevant policy for the indicator, and "5" meaning full availability of policy concerned); and in the case of a rate of 4 or 5 to clarify the rate or give examples. For the GSTC-D indicators even in the case of self-assessment 1, 2 or 3, a clarification of the main obstacles for improvements is requested (not mandatory). It is useful to provide such clarification for the assessment team, but it is also useful for the annual improvement of the criteria.

The Application Form lists 88 Core indicators, and 127 optional ("Your Choice") indicators.

Core indicators: in principle all Core indicators need to be taken into consideration. However, destinations can ignore up to 25% of the GSTC-D indicators per criterion, if these are less relevant to them, indicating why they are less relevant. However, destinations are advised only to ignore GSTC-D indicators in case of low performance.

Your Choice indicators: applicants can choose on which of the Your Choice indicators they will provide information, with a minimum of one per criterion. This means that applicants will provide information on an additional 20 indicators only.

All information provided through the Application Form (including the self-assessment rates) is evaluated and assessed by the QC Secretariat. The Secretariat involves (or hires the services of) a local expert, as a 3rd party auditor. The assessment reports produced by Secretariat and local expert are then reviewed by a number of members of the International Award Jury. For each application, a Jury is designated composed of at least three members including: an independent local expert (who is aware of the applicant community); a national expert; and an international EUCC-expert. In order to ensure its independence, the Jury will work anonymously until the moment it is concluding its assessment.

The Secretariat and the Jury assign scores to all indicators, ranging from 1 to 5; "1" meaning absence of any quality (or no information provided for an indicator); and "5" indicating excellence for an indicator.

The score per criterion is based on the scores and weight factors of its indicators.

The average scores of all 20 criteria will then be calculated. This will be the final score. Applicants scoring '1' in two or more of the 20 criteria will not be eligible for the QualityCoast or QualityDestination Award, regardless the scores in the other criteria.

First time applicants with an average score no less than 5.7 (score threshold) will be granted an Award. Destinations applying for the 2nd time need score 5.8, for the 3rd time 5.9 and for the 4th time 6.0 (minimum).

The Jury will issue a report with a complete list of criteria scores and with suggestions for further improvement.

Some of the indicators measure the quality of policies; others measure the performance (policy implementation) or the visible sustainability status. This is why the QC team is able to produce indexes for sustainability policy and for sustainability status.

For successful applicants the Jury report as well as the above-mentioned indexes will be published.

No public reference will be made about unsuccessful applications.

QualityCoast Award levels

If the Award score threshold is met, the Jury will also check whether the average scores of the individual categories (Nature, Environment, Identity, Tourism & Business and Host Community & Safety) reach a minimum of 8.0 ("excellence").

The following Award levels are considered:

- Bronze, in case of excellence in one of the five categories;
- Silver, in case of excellence in two of the five categories;
- Gold, in case of excellence in three [but then no other category should be below 5.0] or four categories;
- Platinum, in case of excellence in all five categories.

Successful applicants become QualityCoast Destinations

Applicants who meet the score threshold are invited to the next tourism fair (ITB Berlin, Vakantiebeurs Utrecht, WTM London etc) or a National or Local Award Ceremony, where they will receive the Award Certificate, and an Award Flag. They can then participate in the joint marketing programme. Awarded Destinations can order extra flags and banners, which can be displayed throughout the destination's territory during 24 months.

18 Months after their application the Awarded destination will be encouraged to submit a renewed Application. The assessment of the new application will take into consideration the coherence between the improvements indicated in the previous one and the integration of the suggestions and the recommendations made by the Jury.

Renewal of the QualityCoast Award: important note

The QualityCoast Policy Award assessment will take into consideration that earlier scores related to sustainability performance reflect the state of knowledge and experience of previous periods. If no progress is demonstrated in certain indicators, this could result in lower scores. E.g. ambitions and implementation levels in renewable energy use are increasing with time; therefore a clear progress on this item should be shown within a period of four years. Another example is the assessment of satisfaction of the destination, which should be carried out in periods no longer than two or three years.

It is also important for applicants to realise that the QualityCoast Team is annually improving the indicator set in order to better cover up-to-date considerations about sustainability and relevant criteria, and to increase harmonisation with the global GSTC-D criteria and European ETIS indicators. This is why the score for particular criteria can change compared to two years ago even when the situation hasn't changed.

GENERAL ASSESSMENT & COMMENTS

Comparability with the result from two years ago

The scores of this year's assessment are not fully comparable with the score of two years ago, because the QualityCoast criteria had to be adapted to the final version of the Global Sustainable Tourism Council (GSTC) Destination criteria – released in December 2013. This was necessary to maintain the support and recognition by large tour operators, which will only help promote certifications and awards that are GSTC compliant.

Conclusion

The QualityCoast Jury confirms that there is sufficient evidence to approve the QualityCoast Award 2015, and congratulates the applicant with the result.

This QualityCoast Award is a recognition for the high level of tourism quality and sustainability, in particular in terms of nature & landscape, environment, host community & safety.

QualityCoast Award: validity and promotion

The validity of this QualityCoast Award is two years (24 months). You are entitled to display the QualityCoast flags, banners and flyers during this period, throughout your territory. The value of the QualityCoast Award for you as a sustainable destination will highly increase if you are able to help make the QualityCoast Award better known among your inhabitants and visitors, through the flags, banners and flyers, and in your own publications, and website and social media.

GENERAL JURY RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to maintain and improve this high level, the Jury would like to make the following general recommendations to all QualityCoast Destinations:

QualityCoast Committee

Developing and managing a destination sustainably requires structural active involvement and participation of all stakeholder groups in the destination. In order to ensure this is done consistently, the Jury recommends to establish a local destination management Committee (or 'QualityCoast Committee') to provide a think-tank and discussion platform for sustainable tourism and the implementation of the QualityCoast Jury Recommendations. Such a Committee could also help promote synergies between stakeholders and contribute to the prolongation of the QualityCoast Award within two years. Within a next QualityCoast application the Jury would like to see involvement of the Committee and also to see the work of the Committee reported.

Tasks of this Committee could be:

- To help establish a multi-year tourism strategy that is publicly available, is suited to its scale, that considers environmental, economic, social, cultural heritage, quality, health, and safety issues, and was developed with public participation;
- To help develop a system to monitor, publicly report, and respond to environmental, economic, social, and cultural heritage issues;
- To help monitor residents' aspirations, concerns, and satisfaction with tourism, to be regularly recorded and publicly reported.

We suggest that this Committee would represent:

- The local government (highest possible level);
- The local business sector;
- Independent expertise or NGO on nature conservation and management;
- Independent expertise or NGO on cultural heritage and landscape interests;
- Independent expertise or NGO on environmental issues.

Remark

The QualityCoast assessment was executed for the territory of 'Kop van Goeree'; the 2017 assessment shall however cover the territory of the new municipality 'Goeree-Overflakkee'.

SCORE CARD GOEREE

	Overall (max. 10)	Sustainability Policy (max. 10)	Visible Sustainability (max. 10)
NATURE	8.3	7.9	9.2
1. NATURE & CONSERVATION	8.4	6.8	8.8
2. ACCESS, INFORMATION & EDUCATION	8.7	8.5	9.3
3. GREEN POLICIES	8.2	8.2	-
4. OPEN LANDSCAPES	8.6	6.0	9.9
ENVIRONMENT	8.1	8.0	8.6
5. ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT	8.3	8.0	9.5
6. BLUE FLAGS & BEACHES	9.0	-	9.0
7. WATER MANAGEMENT	8.4	8.2	9.3
8. SUSTAINABLE MOBILITY	7.5	8.0	7.0
9. WASTE & RECYCLING	8.6	8.8	8.0
10. ENERGY & CLIMATE MITIGATION	8.3	7.9	10.0
11. CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION	8.3	8.5	8.0
IDENTITY & CULTURE	8.1	8.4	7.5
12. CULTURAL HERITAGE	7.9	8.1	7.4
13. TERRITORY & TRADITION	8.0	8.0	8.0
14. LOCAL IDENTITY	7.5	-	7.5
TOURISM & BUSINESS	7.8	7.9	7.1
15. DESTINATION MANAGEMENT	8.1	8.2	6.7
16. BUSINESS INVOLVEMENT	7.4	7.7	6.7
17. HOSPITALITY & SATISFACTION	8.3	8.3	8.6
HOST COMMUNITY & SAFETY	8.2	8.0	9.3
18. FREEDOM & JUSTICE	8.0	8.0	-
19. COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION	8.5	8.0	9.0
20. HEALTH & SAFETY	8.3	8.0	10.0
FINAL POINTS & SCORE 2015	8.1	8.0	8.5
Number of categories score 8 or more	3		
QualityCoast Award type	Gold		

DETAILED COMMENTS & OPINIONS

NATURE

- **Strong points:**

- In terms of the physical quality of nature, the municipality of Goeree-Overflakkee is scoring 'excellent' regarding nature conservation, access of protected areas and the open characteristics of the (coastal) landscape. Continuation of these qualities is ensured due to the high level of protection of the coastal and fresh water resources in and surrounding its territory.
- The opportunities for visitors to enjoy nature and landscape have been improved with the development of 'countryside paths' (boerenlandpaden)

- **Issues for improvement:**

- Lack of specific policies and programmes for the preservation of open landscapes
- There could be more specific information and codes of conducts for visitors in order to reduce negative impacts from tourism.

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE JURY

- To prioritize the implementation of projects such as 'Noordrand' to develop natural areas and visitor infrastructure; as well as opportunities for economic development.
- To develop specific policies and programmes for the conservation of open landscapes.
- To publish and provide guidelines for proper visitor behavior at sensitive natural sites (especially on and around walking tracks) in order to minimize adverse impacts and strengthen positive visitor behaviors. Such guidelines stimulate visitors to learn more and gain more appreciation. (GSTC-D)
- To provide accurate interpretive information at natural and cultural sites, e.g. in the form of leaflets, apps, books, maps, signs. The information is culturally appropriate, developed with community collaboration and communicated in languages pertinent to visitors. (GSTC-D)
- To monitor the environmental impact of tourism, conserve habitats, species, and ecosystems, and prevent the introduction of invasive species. (GSTC-D)

ENVIRONMENT

Considerations of weak and strong points

- **Strong points:**
 - The municipality has the highest amount of blue flags for marinas in the world (7) and also both beaches have the blue flag.
 - A lot of effort has been put in waste management with high amount of containers on the beaches and touristic areas
 - Clear goals have been set to reduce energy consumption and increase green energy production.
- **Issues for improvement:**
 - There is currently no programme to support local businesses in decreasing their environmental impact and 'green' their business.
 - Although there are promising plans and goals (Blue energy installation at Brouwersdam; more wind energy locations) and private initiatives (solar energy farm); there are currently limited activities to address the municipality's internal energy consumption and increase green energy production.

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE JURY

- To focus on implementing policies to reduce the municipality's footprint, involving the wider public (businesses, citizens) and increasing public awareness. Measures should address for example reducing the impacts of local transport, the municipality's offices, green public procurement, waste minimization and separation, etc.
- To encourage tourism-related enterprises to measure, monitor, reduce, publicly report energy consumption and reduce reliance on fossil fuels and greenhouse gas emissions from all aspects of their operation (including emissions from service providers). (GSTC-D)
- Identify and monitor the key environmental issues connected with the peak tourism season, to minimize negative effects of tourism on the local community on the touristic attractiveness (sanitation, waste, litter, pollution, energy, etc.), and improve the system to address them. (GSTC-D)

IDENTITY & CULTURE

Considerations of weak and strong points

- **Strong points:**
 - Well-conserved historical centres of Ouddorp, Goedereede and fishing village Stellendam.
 - Extensive marketing and promotion of local traditional products (dedicated website)
- **Issues for improvement:**
 - There is no system in place to monitor tourism's impact on cultural sites.
 - Collaboration with local entrepreneurs in the marketing of local products.

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE JURY

- To develop the system to evaluate, rehabilitate, and conserve cultural sites, including built heritage and rural and urban scenic views (historic, archaeological, religious, spiritual, wild landscapes). (GSTC-D)
- To publish and provide guidelines for proper visitor behavior at sensitive cultural sites. Such guidelines should be designed to minimize adverse impacts on sensitive sites and strengthen positive visitor behavior. (GSTC-D)
- Increase the collaboration with entrepreneurs in the marketing of local traditional products. The dedicated website mentions the products but visitors are not directed to a place / indication (map) where they can be purchased.

TOURISM & BUSINESS

Considerations of weak and strong points

- **Strong points:**
 - Tourism is important for the municipality; it's integrated in many different aspects and policies.
- **Issues for improvement:**
 - The destination has a rather strong seasonality: 80% of visitors come in 3-4 months of the year.
 - Tourism development is rather integrated in other strategies or action plans; an overall sustainable tourism strategy / action plan is missing
 - Mobility; some sites are not easy for tourists to reach by public transport. Almost all visitor arrive by car, more sustainable ways of transport should be developed and promoted.
 - Structural and consistent monitoring of visitor satisfaction.

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE JURY

- To create an up-to-date, publicly available inventory of its key tourism assets and attractions including natural, historical, archaeological, religious, spiritual, and cultural sites. (GSTC-D)
- To prepare a multi-year strategy for sustainable tourism that is publicly available, is suited to its scale, that considers environmental, economic, social, cultural heritage, quality, health, and safety issues, and aesthetic issues and was developed with public participation. (GSTC-D)
- To create an effective organization, department, group, or committee responsible for a coordinated approach to sustainable tourism with involvement by the private sector and public sector (rather than only one person responsible for tourism). This group has defined responsibilities for the management of environmental, economic, social, and cultural heritage issues. (GSTC-D)
- The municipality is advised to enter more into discussion with the local business sector, and especially hotels, camp-sites and others in the tourism sector, to see what common actions can be taken involving the public and private sector, possibly involving the public at large, in the field of:
 - Green energy: e.g. switch to green energy; or generate electricity through solar panels or collectors;
 - Low energy schemes and equipment;
 - Water saving schemes and equipment; an example is designing a towel washing policy in hotels – and make sure it's implemented;
 - Waste reduction;
 - Waste separation to enable better recycling;
 - Equal opportunities for men vs. women;
 - Accessibility for guests with disabilities;
 - Buy or use (source) local/regional, sustainable, and/or fair trade goods and services.

Especially for the first two, green energy and energy conservation, it is recommended to prepare a common action involving the municipality, business sector and residents, which has advantages in cost efficiency, effectiveness and publicity.

- The municipality is advised to monitor how many (%) tourism enterprises are involved in the above actions, apart from those having a broadly recognized eco-label (Travelife, Green Key).
- A strategy can be developed to reduce the strong seasonality by focusing on year-round markets and services, such as nature-based tourism
- More research into tourism should be done (satisfaction, visitor profile, numbers, sustainability, etc.) in order to secure long-term success as a (sustainable) tourism destination.

HOST COMMUNITY & SAFETY

Considerations of weak and strong points

- **Strong points:**
 - It is a safe destination with adequate monitoring system in place and plans prepared for extreme weather situations.
 - Involvement of inhabitants in general; inhabitants are welcome to participate in meetings and comments on plans. However, no focus on tourism in this aspect.
- **Issues for improvement:**
 - There is currently no monitoring system in place about the extent inhabitants are satisfied with tourism development and management in the destination as a whole, and sustainability in particular.
 - Create more awareness in regards to the role of tourism in the destination and also sustainability of tourism.

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE JURY

- To monitor residents' aspirations, concerns, and satisfaction with tourism, to be regularly recorded and publicly reported. (GSTC-D)